arXiv:1510.02972v1 [math.LO] 10 Oct 2015

TRANSITION OPERATORS ASSIGNED TO PHYSICAL SYSTEMS

IVAN CHAJDA* AND JAN PASEKA**

Abstract. By a physical system we recognize a set of propositions about a given system with their truth-values depending on the states of the system. Since every physical system can go from one state in another one, there exists a binary relation on the set of states describing this transition. Our aim is to assign to every such system an operator on the set of propositions which is fully determined by the mentioned relation. We establish conditions under which the given relation can be recovered by means of this transition operator.

Introduction

In 1900, D. Hilbert formulated his famous 23 problems, see e.g. [8] for detailes. In the problem number 6, he asked: "Can physics be axiomatized?" It means that he asked if physics can be formalized and/or axiomatized for to reach a logically perfect system forming a basis of precise physical reasoning. This challenge was followed by G. Birkhoff and J. von Neuman in 1930s producing the so-called logic of quantum mechanics. We are going to addopt a method and examples of D. J. Foulis from [6] and [7], however, we are not restricted to the logic of quantum mechanics. We are focused on a general situation with a physical system endowed by states which it can reach. Our goal is to assign to every such a system the so-called transition operators completely determining its transition relation. Conditions under which this assignment works perfectly will be formulated.

We start with a formalization of a given physical system. Every physical system is described by certain quantities and states through them it goes. From the logical point of view, we can formulate propositions saying what a quantity in a given state is. Through the paper we assume that these propositions can acquire only two values, namely either TRUE of FALSE. It is in accordance with reasoning both in classical physics and in quantum mechanics.

Denote by S the set of states of a given physical system $\mathcal{P}$. It is given by the nature of $\mathcal{P}$ from what state $s \in S$ the system $\mathcal{P}$ can go to a state $t \in S$. Hence, there exists a binary relation R on S such that $(s,t) \in R$. This process is called a *transition* of $\mathcal{P}$.

Besides of the previous, the observer of $\mathcal{P}$ can formulate propositions revealing our knowledge about the system. The truth-values of these propositions depend on states. For example, the proposition p can be true if the system $\mathcal{P}$ is in the state s_1 but false if $\mathcal{P}$ is in the state s_2. Hence, for each state $s \in S$ we can evaluate the truth-value of p, it is denoted by $p(s)$. As mentioned above, $p(s) \in \{0,1\}$ where 0 indicates the truth-value FALSE and 1 indicates TRUE. The set of all truth-values for all propositions will be called the *table*.

Denote by B the set of propositions about the physical system $\mathcal{P}$ formulated by the observer. We can introduce the order $\leq$ on B as follows:

$$\text{for } p,q \in B, p \leq q \text{ if and only if } p(s) \leq q(s) \text{ for all } s \in S.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03B44, 03G25, 06A11, 06B23.

Key words and phrases. Physical system, transition relation, transition operators, states, complete lattice, transition frame.

I. Chajda acknowledges the support by a bilateral project I 1923-N25 New Perspectives on Residuated Posets financed by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) and the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR). J. Paseka gratefully acknowledges Financial Support of the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) under the grant Algebraic, many-valued and quantum structures for uncertainty modelling No. GAČR 15-15286S.

One can immediately check that the contradiction, i.e., the proposition with constant truth-value 0, is the least element and the tautology, i.e., the proposition with the constant truth-value 1 is the greatest element of the ordered set $(B;\leq)$; this fact will be expressed by the notation $(B;\leq,0,1)$ for the bounded ordered set of propositions about $\mathcal{P}$.

We summarize our description as follows:

- every physical system $\mathcal{P}$ will be identified with the couple (B,S), where B is the set of propositions about $\mathcal{P}$ and S is the set of states on $\mathcal{P}$;
- the set S is equipped with a binary relation R such that $\mathcal{P}$ can go from s_1 to s_2 provided $(s_1,s_2)\in R$;
- the set B is ordered by values of propositions as shown above.

To shed light on the previous concepts, let us addopt an example from [7].

Example 1. For system $\mathcal{P}=(B,S)$ we have $B=\{0,l,r,n,f,b,l',r',n',f',b',1\}$ and $S=\{s_1,s_2,s_3,s_4,s_5\}$ such that the table is as follows.

	0	l	r	n	f	b
s_1	0	1	0	0	1	0
s_2	0	1	0	0	0	1
s_3	0	0	1	0	0	1
s_4	0	0	1	0	1	0
s_5	0	0	0	1	0	0

.

Remember that p' is a complement of p, i.e., it has 0 in the same instance where p has 1 and vice versa.

Then the order is vizualized in the following Hasse diagram.



FIGURE 1. Logic of experimental propositions $\mathbb{L}$

Finally, the relation R on S is given as follows

$$R=\{(s_1,s_2),(s_2,s_3),(s_3,s_2),(s_3,s_5),(s_4,s_3),(s_4,s_5),(s_5,s_5)\}.$$

It can be vizualized by the following graph of transition.

Our task is as follows. We introduce an operator T from B into 2^S which is constructed by means of the relation R. The question is if this operator, called *transition operator*, bears all the information about system $\mathcal{P}$ equipped with the relation R. In other words, if the relation R can be recovered by applying the operator T. In what follows, we will get conditions under which the transition operator has this property. Since these conditions are formulated in a pure algebraic way, we need to develop an algebraic background (see e.g. also in [1]) which is the purpose of the next section.

It is worth noticing that the transition operators will be constructed formally in a similar way as tense operators introduced by J. Burges [2] for the classical logic and developped by the authors for several non-classical logics, see [3], [4] and [5].



FIGURE 2. The transition graph of R

1. Algebraic tools

Let S be a non-void set. Every subset $R \subseteq S \times S$ is called a *relation on* S and we say that the triple (S, R) is a *transition frame*. The fact that $(x, y) \in R$ for $x, y \in S$ is expressed by the notation xRy.

Let $(A; \leq)$ and $(B; \leq)$ be ordered sets, $f, g\colon A \to B$ mappings. We write $f \leq g$ if $f(a) \leq g(a)$, for all $a \in A$. A mapping f is called *order-preserving* or *monotone* if $a, b \in A$ and $a \leq b$ together imply $f(a) \leq f(b)$, *antitone* if $a, b \in A$ and $a \leq b$ together imply $f(b) \leq f(a)$ and *order-reflecting* if $a, b \in A$ and $f(a) \leq f(b)$ together imply $a \leq b$. A bijective order-preserving and order-reflecting mapping $f\colon A \to B$ is called an *isomorphism* and we say that the ordered sets $(A; \leq)$ and $(B; \leq)$ are *isomorphic*.

Let $(A; \leq)$ and $(B; \leq)$ be ordered sets. A mapping $f\colon A \to B$ is called *residuated* if there exists a mapping $g\colon B \to A$ such that

$$f(a) \leq b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a \leq g(b)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

In this situation, we say that f and g form a *residuated pair* or that the pair (f, g) is a (monotone) *Galois connection*. The mapping f is called a *lower adjoint of* g or a *left adjoint of* g, the mapping g is called an *upper adjoint of* f or a *right adjoint of* f.

Galois connections can be described as follows, see e.g. [1].

Lemma 2. *Let $(A; \leq)$ and $(B; \leq)$ be ordered sets. Let $f\colon A \to B$ and $g\colon B \to A$ be mappings. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) (f, g) is a Galois connection.
(2) f and g are monotone, $id_A \leq g \circ f$ and $f \circ g \leq id_B$.
(3) $g(b) = \bigvee\{x \in A \mid f(x) \leq b\}$ and $f(a) = \bigwedge\{y \in B \mid a \leq g(y)\}$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Moreover, it is possible to compose Galois connections: given Galois connections (f, g) between posets $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ and (u, v) between posets $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$, the pair $(u \circ f, g \circ v)$ is also a Galois connection between posets $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$.

If an ordered set $\mathbf{A}$ has both a bottom and a top element, it will be called *bounded*; the appropriate notation for a bounded ordered set is $(A; \leq, 0, 1)$. Let $(A; \leq, 0, 1)$ and $(B; \leq, 0, 1)$ be bounded posets. A *morphism $f\colon A \to B$ of bounded posets* is an order, top element and bottom element preserving map.

We can take the following useful result from [3].

Observation 3 ([3])**.** *Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ be bounded posets, S a set and $h_s\colon A \to M, s \in S$, morphisms of bounded posets. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $((\forall s \in S)\, h_s(a) \leq h_s(b)) \implies a \leq b$ *for any elements $a, b \in A$;*
(ii) *The map $h\colon A \to M^S$ defined by $h(a) = (h_s(a))_{s \in T}$ for all $a \in A$ is order reflecting.*

We then say that $\{h_s\colon A \to M; s \in S\}$ is a *full set of order-preserving maps with respect to* M. Note that we may in this case identify $\mathbf{A}$ with a bounded subposet of $\mathbf{M}^S$ since h

is an order reflecting morphism alias *embedding* of bounded posets. For any $s \in S$ and any $m = (m_t)_{t\in S} \in M^S$ we denote by $s(m)$ the s-th projection m_s. Note that $s(h(a)) = h_s(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and all $s \in S$.

Consider a complete lattice $\mathbf{M} = (M; \leq, 0, 1)$ and let (S, R) be a transition frame. Further, let $\mathbf{A} = (A; \leq, 0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B; \leq, 0, 1)$ be a bounded subposets of $\mathbf{M}^S$.

Define mappings $P_R : A \to M^S$ and $T_R : B \to M^S$ as follows: For all $b \in B$ and all $s \in S$,

$$T_R(b)(s) = \bigwedge_M \{t(b) \mid sRt\} \tag{$*$}$$

and, for all $a \in A$ and all $t \in S$,

$$P_R(a)(t) = \bigvee_M \{s(a) \mid sRt\}. \tag{$**$}$$

Then we say that T_R (P_R) is an *upper transition operator* (*lower transition operator*) *constructed by means of the transition frame* (S, R), respectively.

For to answer our question given in introduction, we introduce certain binary relations induced by means of operators mentioned above. Hence, let $\mathbf{A} = (A; \leq, 0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B; \leq, 0, 1)$ be bounded posets with a full set S of morphisms of bounded posets into a non-trivial complete lattice $\mathbf{M}$. We may assume that $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are bounded subposets of $\mathbf{M}^S$.

Let $P : A \to B$ and $T : B \to A$ be morphisms of posets. Let us define the relations

$$R_T = \{(s, t) \in S \times S \mid (\forall b \in B)(s(T(b)) \leq t(b))\} \tag{$\dagger$}$$

and

$$R^P = \{(s, t) \in S \times S \mid (\forall a \in A)(s(a) \leq t(P(a)))\}. \tag{$\dagger\dagger$}$$

The relations R_T and R^P on S will be called the *upper T-induced relation by* $\mathbf{M}$ (shortly *T-induced relation by* $\mathbf{M}$) and *lower P-induced relation by* $\mathbf{M}$ (shortly *P-induced relation by* $\mathbf{M}$), respectively.

Let $P\colon A \to M^S$ and $T\colon B \to M^S$ be morphisms of posets, R_T the T-induced relation by $\mathbf{M}$ and R^P the P-induced relation by $\mathbf{M}$. Let us denote by $(\star)$ the following condition:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1) & \text{for all } b \in B \text{ and for all } s \in S,\ s(T(b)) = \bigwedge_M \{t(b) \mid sR_G t\}, \\ (2) & \text{for all } a \in A \text{ and for all } t \in S,\ t(P(a)) = \bigvee_M \{s(a) \mid sR^P t\}. \end{array} \tag{$\star$}$$

Now, let let (S, R) be a transition frame and T_R, P_R operators constructed by means of the transition frame (S, R). We can ask under what conditions the relation R coincides with the relation R_{T_R} constructed as in ($\dagger$) or with the relation R^{P_R} constructed as in ($\dagger\dagger$). If this is the case we say that R *is recoverable from* T_R or that R *is recoverable from* P_R. We say that R is *recoverable* if it is recoverable both from T_R and P_R. The answer will be given in the next section.

2. The transition operator characterizing the physical system

The connection between the relations R and R_{T_R} or R and R^{P_R} is presented in the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a non-trivial complete lattice and* S *a non-empty set. Let* $\mathbf{A}$ *and* $\mathbf{B}$ *be bounded subposets of* $\mathbf{M}^S$. *Then*

(a) $R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq S \times S$ *implies* $T_{R_2} \leq T_{R_1}$ *and* $P_{R_1} \leq P_{R_2}$.
(b) *If* $T_1, T_2 : B \to M^S$ *are order-preserving mappings such that* $T_2 \leq T_1$ *and* $T_2(1) = T_1(1) = 1$ *then* $R_{T_1} \subseteq R_{T_2}$.
(c) *If* $P_1, P_2 : A \to M^S$ *are order-preserving mappings such that* $P_1 \leq P_2$ *and* $P_1(0) = P_2(0) = 0$ *then* $R^{P_1} \subseteq R^{P_2}$.
(d) *If* $R \subseteq S \times S$ *then* $R \subseteq R_{T_R} \cap R^{P_R}$.
(e) *If* $T : B \to M^S$ *is an order-preserving mapping such that* $T(1) = 1$ *then* $T \leq T_{R_G}$.
(f) *If* $P : A \to M^S$ *is an order-preserving mapping such that* $P(0) = 0$ *then* $P_{R^P} \leq P$.

(g) *Both the extremal relations $S \times S$ and $\emptyset$ are recoverable from $T_{S\times S}$ and $T_\emptyset$ or $P_{S\times S}$ and $P_\emptyset$, respectively.*

Proof. (a): Assume that $R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq S \times S$ and $s \in S$. Then $\{p(t) \mid sR_1t\} \subseteq \{p(t) \mid sR_2t\}$. It follows that

$$\textstyle\bigwedge_M\{p(t) \mid sR_2t\} \leq \bigwedge_M\{p(t) \mid sR_1t\}.$$

This yields that $T_{R_2} \leq T_{R_1}$ and similarly $P_{R_1} \leq P_{R_2}$.
(b): Let $(s,t) \in R_{T_1}$. Then, for all $b \in B$, $s(T_2(b))) \leq s(T_1(b))) \leq t(b)$, i.e., $(s,t) \in R_{T_2}$.
(c): Let $(s,t) \in R^{P_1}$. Then, for all $a \in A$, $s(a) \leq t(P_1(a)) \leq t(P_2(a))$, i.e., $(s,t) \in R^{P_2}$.
(d): Let $(s,t) \in R$. Then, for all $b \in B$, $s(T_R(b)) = \bigwedge_M\{p(t) \mid sRt\} \leq t(b)$. It follows that $(s,t) \in R_{T_R}$. Similarly, $(s,t) \in R^{P_R}$.
(e): Let $b \in B$ and $s \in S$. Either the set $\{t \in S \mid (s,t) \in R_T\} = \emptyset$ in which case $s(T_{R_T}(b)) = 1$ and hence $s(T(b)) \leq s(T_{R_T}(b))$ or $\{t \in S \mid (s,t) \in R_T\} \neq \emptyset$ in which case, for all $t \in \{t \in S \mid (s,t) \in R_T\}$, we have that $s(T(b)) \leq t(b)$, i.e., $s(T(b)) \leq s(T_{R_T}(b))$.
(f): It follows by the same considerations as (e).
(g): Clearly, from (d) we have $S \times S \subseteq R_{T_{S\times S}} \subseteq S \times S$, i.e., $R_{T_{S\times S}} = S \times S$. Since $T_\emptyset(b) = 1$ for all $b \in B$, i.e., also $s(T_\emptyset(0)) = 1 > 0 = t(0)$ for all $s,t \in S$ it follows that $R_{T_\emptyset} = \emptyset$. Similarly, $R^{P_{S\times S}} = S \times S$ and $R^{P_\emptyset} = \emptyset$. □

In what follows, we are going to show that the transition relations on S and the transition operators on $\mathbf{B}$ form a Galois connection. This is important because in every Galois connection one of its components fully determines the second one and vice versa.

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a non-trivial complete lattice and S a non-empty set. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a bounded subposet of $\mathbf{M}^S$, $(\wp(S \times S); \subseteq, \emptyset, S \times S)$ be the poset of all relations on S and $(\mathrm{Map}_1(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M}^S); \sqsubseteq)$ be the poset of all order-preserving mappings $T\colon B \to M^S$ such that $T(1) = 1$ and $T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$ if and only if $T_2(b) \leq T_1(b)$ for all $b \in B$. The smallest element of $(\mathrm{Map}_1(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M}^S); \sqsubseteq)$ is the constant mapping $\mathbf{1}$ such that $\mathbf{1}(b) = (1)_{s\in S}$ for all $b \in B$. Let us put, for all $R \in \wp(S \times S)$ and all $T \in \mathrm{Map}_1(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M}^S)$, $\varphi(R) = T_R$ and $\psi(T) = R_T$.

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a non-trivial complete lattice and S a non-empty set such that $\mathbf{B}$ is a bounded subposet of $\mathbf{M}^S$. Then the couple (φ, ψ) is a Galois connection between $(\wp(S \times S); \subseteq, \emptyset, S \times S)$ and $(\mathrm{Map}_1(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M}^S); \sqsubseteq)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4 (a), (b) we know that ψ and φ are order-preserving mappings. It is enough to check that, for all $R \in \wp(S \times S)$ and all $T \in \mathrm{Map}_1(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M}^S)$,

$$\varphi(R) \sqsubseteq T \text{ if and only if } R \subseteq \psi(T).$$

Assume first that $\varphi(R) \sqsubseteq T$ holds and let $(s,t) \in R$. Then, for all $b \in B$, we have $s(T(b)) \leq s(\varphi(R)(b)) = s(T_R(b)) = \bigwedge_M\{t(b) \mid sRt\} \leq t(b)$. This yields that $(s,t) \in \psi(G) = R_T$.

Conversely, assume that $R \subseteq \psi(T)$ and let $b \in B$, $s \in S$. Either the set $\{t \in S \mid (s,t) \in R\} = \emptyset$ in which case $s(T_R(b)) = 1$ which yields $s(T(b)) \leq 1 = s(\varphi(R)(b))$ or $\{t \in S \mid (s,t) \in R\} \neq \emptyset$. In the last case we have that $\{t(b) \in T \mid (s,t) \in R\} \neq \emptyset$ and by the definition of $\varphi(R) = T_R$ we have that $s(T_R(b)) = \bigwedge_M\{t(b) \mid sRt\} \leq t(b)$ for all $t \in S$ such that $(s,t) \in R$. Since $R \subseteq \psi(T)$ we have, for all $t \in S$ such that $(s,t) \in R$, that, for all $c \in B$, $s(T(c)) \leq t(c)$. It follows that $s(T(c)) \leq s(T_R(b)) = s(\varphi(R)(b))$. But we have just proved that $\varphi(R) \sqsubseteq T$. □

Remark 6. We point out that our recoverable relations from the respective upper transition operators are exactly fixpoints of the composition $\psi \circ \varphi\colon \wp(S \times S) \to \wp(S \times S)$.

Dually, let $\mathbf{M}$ be a non-trivial complete lattice and S a non-empty set. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a bounded subposet of $\mathbf{M}^S$, $(\wp(S\times S); \subseteq, \emptyset, S\times S)$ be the poset of all relations on S and $(\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}^S); \leq)$ be the poset of all order-preserving mappings $P\colon A \to M^S$ such that $P(0) = 0$ and $P_1 \leq P_2$ if and only if $P_1(a) \leq P_2(a)$ for all $a \in A$. The smallest element of $(\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}^S); \leq)$ is the constant mapping $\mathbf{0}$ such that $\mathbf{0}(a) = (0)_{s\in S}$ for all $a \in A$. Let us put, for all $R \in \wp(S \times S)$ and all $P \in \mathrm{Map}_0(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}^S)$, $\Phi(R) = P_R$ and $\Psi(P) = R^P$.

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 5 applied to relations on $S \times S$ and to the poset $(\mathrm{Map}_1(\mathbf{A}^{op}, (\mathbf{M}^{op})^S); \sqsubseteq)$. To see it it is enough to note that $(\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}^S); \leq) = (\mathrm{Map}_1(\mathbf{A}^{op}, (\mathbf{M}^{op})^S); \sqsubseteq)$, $\Phi(R) = P_R = \phi(R^{-1})$ and $\Psi(P) = R^P = \psi(P)$.

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a non-trivial complete lattice and S a non-empty set such that $\mathbf{A}$ is a bounded subposet of $\mathbf{M}^S$. Then the couple (Φ, Ψ) is a Galois connection between $(\wp(S \times S); \subseteq, \emptyset, S \times S)$ and $(\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}^S); \leq)$.*

The connection between relations induced by means of transition operators T and P is shown in the following lemma and theorem. Of course, we can identify the ordered sets $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ for to obtain the answer to our original task, i.e., for to obtain the relationship between transition operators and transition relations on a physical system $\mathcal{P} = (B, S)$.

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a non-trivial complete lattice and S a non-empty set such that $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are bounded subposets of $\mathbf{M}^S$. Let $P : A \to M^S$ and $T : B \to M^S$ be morphisms of posets such that, for all $a \in A$ and all $b \in B$,*

$$P(a) \leq b \iff a \leq T(b).$$

(a) *If $P(A) \subseteq B$ then $R_T \subseteq R^P$.*
(b) *If $T(B) \subseteq A$ then $R^P \subseteq R_T$.*
(c) *If $P(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$ then $R_T = R^P$.*

Proof. (a): Let $s, t \in S$ and $(s, t) \in R_T$. Let $a \in A$. We put $b = P(a)$. It follows that $a \leq T(P(a))$ and hence $s(a) \leq s(T(P(a)) \leq t(P(a))$, i.e., $(s, t) \in R^P$ and we have $R_T \subseteq R^P$.

(b): It follows from the same reasonings as in (a).

(c): It follows immediately from (a) and (b).

□

Among other things, the following theorem shows that if a given transition relation R can be recovered by the upper transition operator then, under natural conditions, it can be recovered by the lower transition operator and vice versa.

Theorem 9. *Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a non-trivial complete lattice and (S, R) a transition frame. Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be bounded subposets of $\mathbf{M}^S$. Let $P_R : A \to M^S$ and $T_R : B \to M^S$ be operators constructed by means of the transition frame (S, R), i.e., the respective parts of the condition $(\star)$ hold for the relation R. Then, for all $a \in A$ and all $b \in B$,*

$$P_R(a) \leq b \iff a \leq T_R(b).$$

Moreover, the following holds.

(a) *Let for all $t \in S$ exist an element $b^t \in B$ such that, for all $s \in S$, $(s, t) \notin R$, we have $\bigwedge_M \{u(b^t) \mid sRu\} \not\leq t(b^t) \neq 1$. Then $R = R_{T_R}$.*
(b) *Let for all $s \in S$ exist an element $a^s \in A$ such that, for all $t \in S$, $(s, t) \notin R$, we have $\bigvee_M \{u(a_s) \mid uRt\} \not\geq s(a^s) \neq 0$. Then $R = R^{P_R}$.*
(c) *If $R = R_{T_R}$ and $T_R(B) \subseteq A$ then $R = R_{T_R} = R^{P_R}$.*
(d) *If $R = R^{P_R}$ and $P_R(A) \subseteq B$ then $R = R_{T_R} = R^{P_R}$.*

Proof. Clearly, for all $a \in A$ and all $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_R(a) \leq b &\iff (\forall t \in S)(t(P_R(a)) \leq t(b)) \\ &\iff (\forall s, t \in S)(sRt \Longrightarrow s(a) \leq t(b)) \\ &\iff (\forall s \in S)(s(a) \leq s(T_R(b))) \Longleftrightarrow a \leq T_R(b). \end{aligned}$$

We know from Lemma 4 (d) that $R \subseteq R_{T_R}$ and $R \subseteq R^{P_R}$.

It is enough to verify (a) and (c). The remaining parts (b) and (d) follow by dual considerations.

(a): Now, assume that $(s, t) \notin R$.

Then either $\{u \in S \mid sRu\} = \emptyset$ or $\{u \in S \mid sRu\} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\{u \in S \mid sRu\} = \emptyset$. Then $s(T_R(b^t)) = \bigwedge_M \{u(b^t) \mid sRu\} = \bigwedge_M \emptyset = 1 \not\leq t(b^t) \neq 1$. For the other case, we have that $t \notin \{u \in S \mid sRu\}$, i.e., $u \neq t$ and therefore $s(T_R(b^t)) = \bigwedge_M \{u(b^t) \mid sRu\} \not\leq t(b^t)$. Hence in both cases we get that $s(T_R(b^t)) \not\leq t(b^t)$, i.e., $(s,t) \notin R_{T_R}$. It follows that $R_{T_R} \subseteq R$, i.e., $R = R_{T_R}$.

(c): Assume that $R = R_{T_R}$ and $T_R(B) \subseteq A$. From Lemma 8 we have that $R \subseteq R^{P_R} \subseteq R_{T_R} = R$ which yields the statement. □

The following two corollaries of Theorem 9 show that if the set B of propositions on the system (B, S) is large enough, i.e., if it contains the full set $\{0,1\}^S$ then the transition relation R can be recovered by each of the transition operators.

Corollary 10. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a non-trivial complete lattice and* (S, R) *a transition frame. Let* $\mathbf{B}$ *be a bounded subposets of* $\mathbf{M}^S$ *such that* $\{0,1\}^S \subseteq B$. *Let* $P_R : B \to M^S$ *and* $T_R : B \to M^S$ *be operators constructed by means of the transition frame* (S, R), *i.e., the respective parts of the condition* $(\star)$ *hold for the relation* R. *Then* $R = R^{P_R} = R_{G_R}$.

Proof. Let us we define, for all $t \in S$, an element $b^t \in 2^T \subseteq B$ by

$$u(b^t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u = t, \\ 1 & \text{if } u \neq t. \end{cases}$$

Then $b^t \in B$ satisfies the assumption of part (a) from Theorem 9, i.e., $R = R_{T_R}$. Similarly, $R = R^{P_R}$. □

Corollary 11. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a non-trivial complete lattice and* (S, R) *a transition frame. Let* $\widehat{T}, \widehat{P} : M^S \to M^S$ *be operators constructed by means of* (S, R). *Then* $R = R^{\widehat{P}} = R_{\widehat{T}}$.

We can illustrate previous results in the following two examples.

Example 12. Consider the system $\mathcal{P} = (B, S)$ of Example 1.

From the table we see the values of B as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 = (0,0,0,0,0), & l = (1,1,0,0,0), \\ r = (0,0,1,1,0), & n = (0,0,0,0,1), \\ f = (1,0,0,1,0), & b = (0,1,1,0,0), \\ l' = (0,0,1,1,1), & r' = (1,1,0,0,1), \\ n' = (1,1,1,1,0), & f' = (0,1,1,0,1), \\ b' = (1,0,0,\ 1,1), & 1 = (1,1,1,1,1). \end{array}$$

Using our formulas $(*)$ and $(**)$, we compute the upper transition operator $T_R \colon B \to 2^S$ and the lower transition operator $P_R \colon B \to 2^S$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} T_R(0) = 0, & T_R(1) = 1, \\ T_R(l) = (1,0,0,0,0), & T_R(l') = (0,0,0,1,1), \\ T_R(r) = (0,1,0,0,0), & T_R(r') = (1,0,1,0,1), \\ T_R(n) = n, & T_R(n') = l, \\ T_R(f) = 0, & T_R(f') = 1, \\ T_R(b) = l, & T_R(b') = n, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} P_R(0) = 0, & P_R(1) = f', \\ P_R(l) = b, & P_R(l') = f', \\ P_R(r) = f', & P_R(r') = f', \\ P_R(n) = n, & P_R(n') = f', \\ P_R(f) = f', & P_R(f') = f', \\ P_R(b) = f', & P_R(b') = f'. \end{array}$$

Hence, $T_R(l), T_R(r), T_R(l')$ and $T_R(r')$ do not belong to B, they only belong to 2^S. On the contrary, $P_R(B) \subseteq B$.

Now, we use T_R for computing the binary relation R_{T_R} (by the formula (†)) and P_R for computing the binary relation R^{P_R} (by the formula (††)). We obtain that $R_{T_R} = R$, i.e., our given relation R is recoverable by the transition operator T_R and hence this operator is a characteristic of the system $\mathcal{P} = (B, S)$.

On the contrary, R^{P_R} is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} R^{P_R} = \{&(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_3), (s_2, s_2), (s_2, s_3), (s_3, s_2), (s_3, s_3), (s_3, s_5), \\ &(s_4, s_2), (s_4, s_3), (s_4, s_5), (s_5, s_5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be vizualized by the following transition graph.



FIGURE 3. The transition graph of R^{P_R}

One can easily see that $R \subseteq R^{P_R}$ but $R = R_{T_R} \neq R^{P_R}$ in accordance with our Theorem 9 because the condition (b) from this theorem is not satisfied.

We can now use the same system $\mathcal{P} = (B, S)$ as above and, instead of the relation R on S, we apply the new relation $R_2 = R^{P_R}$. In other words, our system $\mathcal{P} = (B, S)$ will have the transition frame (S, R^{P_R}). After the computation of the new lower transition operator $P_{R_2} \colon B \to 2^S$ and the relation $R^{P_{R_2}}$ we see that now $R_2 = R^{P_{R_2}}$.

Let us compute the new upper transition operator $T_{R_2} \colon B \to 2^S$ and the relation $R_{T_{R_2}}$. It follows that

$$\begin{array}{ll} T_{R_2}(0) = 0, & T_{R_2}(1) = 1, \\ T_{R_2}(l) = 0, & T_{R_2}(l') = n, \\ T_{R_2}(r) = 0, & T_{R_2}(r') = n, \\ T_{R_2}(n) = n, & T_{R_2}(n') = l, \\ T_{R_2}(f) = 0, & T_{R_2}(f') = 1, \\ T_{R_2}(b) = l, & T_{R_2}(b') = n. \end{array}$$

Since $T_{R_2}(B) \subseteq B$ and $P_{R_2}(B) \subseteq B$ we obtain from Theorem 9 and Lemma 8 (c) that $R_{T_{R_2}} = R^{P_{R_2}} = R_2$, i.e., R_2 is recoverable both by the upper transition operator T_{R_2} and by the lower transition operator P^{R_2}.

Example 13. Consider again the system $\mathcal{P} = (B, S)$ of Example 1 but our relation R will be now as follows:

$$R = \{(s_1, s_2), (s_2, s_3), (s_3, s_2), (s_3, s_4), (s_4, s_3), (s_4, s_5), (s_5, s_3), (s_5, s_5)\}.$$

It can be visualized as in Figure 4.

For this relation the upper transition operator $T_R \colon B \to 2^S$ is as follows:



FIGURE 4. The transition graph of R

$$\begin{array}{ll} T_R(0) = 0, & T_R(1) = 1, \\ T_R(l) = (1,0,0,0,0), & T_R(l') = (0,0,0,1,1), \\ T_R(r) = (0,1,0,0,0), & T_R(r') = (1,0,0,0,0), \\ T_R(n) = 0, & T_R(n') = (1,1,1,0,0), \\ T_R(f) = 0, & T_R(f') = (1,1,0,1,1), \\ T_R(b) = l, & T_R(b') = 0. \end{array}$$

This upper transition operator T_R differs from that of Example 12 in values $T_R(n)$, $T_R(r')$, $T_R(n')$, $T_R(f')$ and $T_R(b')$.

Similarly, the lower transition operator $P_R \colon B \to 2^S$ is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} P_R(0) = 0, & P_R(1) = (0,1,1,1,1), \\ P_R(l) = b, & P_R(l') = (0,1,1,1,1), \\ P_R(r) = (0,1,1,1,1), & P_R(r') = f', \\ P_R(n) = (0,0,1,0,1), & P_R(n') = (0,1,1,1,1), \\ P_R(f) = f', & P_R(f') = (0,1,1,1,1), \\ P_R(b) = (0,1,1,1,0), & T_R(b') = f'. \end{array}$$

Now we compute the induced relation R_{T_R}, it is

$$R_{T_R} = \{(s_1,s_2),(s_2,s_3),(s_3,s_1),(s_3,s_2),(s_3,s_3),(s_3,s_4),(s_4,s_3),(s_4,s_5),(s_5,s_3),(s_5,s_5)\}.$$



FIGURE 5. The transition graph of R_{T_R}

One can easily see that $R \subseteq R_{T_R}$ but $R \neq R_{T_R}$ in accordance with our Theorem 9 because the condition (a) from this theorem is not satisfied.

Similarly, we obtain the induced relation R^{P_R}, it is

$$\begin{aligned} R^{P_R} \quad = \{ & (s_1,s_2),(s_1,s_3),(s_2,s_2),(s_2,s_3),(s_3,s_2),(s_3,s_3),(s_3,s_4), \\ & (s_4,s_2),(s_4,s_3),(s_4,s_5),(s_5,s_3),(s_5,s_5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $R \subseteq R^{P_R}$, $R \neq R^{P_R}$ and $R^{P_R} \neq R_{T_R}$, again in accordance with our Theorem 9 because the condition (c) from this theorem is not satisfied.

However, we can now use the same system $\mathcal{P} = (B,S)$ as above and, instead of the relation R on S, we apply the new relation $R_3 = R_{T_R}$. In other words, our system $\mathcal{P} = (B,S)$ will have the transition frame (S, R_{T_R}). After the computation of the new upper transition operator



FIGURE 6. The transition graph of R^{P_R}

$T_{R_3}\colon B \to 2^S$ and the relation $R_{T_{R_3}}$ we see that now $T_{R_3} = T_R$ and $R_3 = R_{T_{R_3}}$. Let us compute the new lower transition operator $P_{R_3}\colon B \to 2^S$ and the new transition relation $R^{P_{R_3}}$. We have that

$$\begin{array}{ll} P_{R_3}(0) = 0, & P_{R_3}(1) = 1, \\ P_{R_3}(l) = b, & P_{R_3}(l') = 1, \\ P_{R_3}(r) = 1, & P_{R_3}(r') = f', \\ P_{R_3}(n) = (0,0,1,0,1), & P_{R_3}(n') = 1, \\ P_{R_3}(f) = f', & P_{R_3}(f') = 1, \\ P_{R_3}(b) = n', & T_{R_3}(b') = f'. \end{array}$$

Then $R^{P_{R_3}} = R_3 \cup \{(s_1, s_3), (s_2, s_2), (s_4, s_2)\}$.

Similarly, applying the new relation $R_4 = R^{P_R}$ we obtain the transition frame (S, R^{P_R}) for our system $\mathcal{P} = (B, S)$. Then we have that $R_4 = R^{P_{R_4}}$. On the contrary, after the computation of the new upper transition operator $T_{R_4}\colon B \to 2^S$ (see below) we have that $T_{R_4} \neq T_R$, $T_{R_4} \leq T_R$. Moreover, $R_4 \neq R_{T_{R_4}}$ and $R_{T_{R_4}} = R_4 \cup \{(s_3, s_1)\}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} T_{R_4}(0) = 0, & T_{R_4}(1) = 1, \\ T_{R_4}(l) = 0, & T_{R_4}(l') = 0, \\ T_{R_4}(r) = 0, & T_{R_4}(r') = 0, \\ T_{R_4}(n) = 0, & T_{R_4}(n') = (1,1,1,0,0), \\ T_{R_4}(f) = 0, & T_{R_4}(f') = (1,1,0,1,1), \\ T_{R_4}(b) = l, & T_{R_4}(b') = 0. \end{array}$$



FIGURE 7. The transition graph of $R^{P_{R_3}} = R_{T_{R_4}} = R^{P_{R_5}}$

Put now $R_5 = R_{T_{R_4}}$. Then $T_{R_5} = T_{R_4}$ and $R_5 = R_{T_{R_5}}$ when computing the new upper transition operator $T_{R_5}\colon B \to 2^S$ and the new transition relation $R_{T_{R_5}}$. Let us compute the

respective lower transition operator $P_{R_5}\colon B \to 2^S$ and the new transition relation $R^{P_{R_5}}$. We obtain that

$$\begin{array}{ll} P_{R_5}(0) = 0, & P_{R_5}(1) = 1, \\ P_{R_5}(l) = b, & P_{R_5}(l') = 1, \\ P_{R_5}(r) = 1, & P_{R_5}(r') = f', \\ P_{R_5}(n) = (0,0,1,0,1), & P_{R_5}(n') = 1, \\ P_{R_5}(f) = f', & P_{R_5}(f') = 1, \\ P_{R_5}(b) = n', & T_{R_5}(b') = f'. \end{array}$$

It follows that $P_{R_3} = P_{R_5}$ and $R^{P_{R_5}} = R_5 = R_{T_{R_4}} = R_{T_{R_5}} = R^{P_{R_3}}$, i.e., our construction stops now.

References

[1] BLYTH, T.S.: *Lattices and ordered algebraic structures*, Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2005.

[2] BURGES, J.: *Basic tense logic*. In: Handbook of Philosophical Logic, vol. II (D. M. Gabbay, F. Günther, eds.), D. Reidel Publ. Comp., 1984, pp. 89–139.

[3] CHAJDA, I.—PASEKA, J.: *Dynamic Effect Algebras and their Representations*, Soft Computing **16**, (2012), 1733–1741.

[4] CHAJDA, I.— PASEKA, J.: *Tense Operators and Dynamic De Morgan Algebras*, In: Proc. 2013 IEEE 43rd Internat. Symp. Multiple-Valued Logic, Springer, (2013), 219–224.

[5] CHAJDA, I.— PASEKA, J.: *Dynamic Order Algebras as an Axiomatization of Modal and Tense Logics*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, doi: 10.1007/s10773-015-2510-9.

[6] FOULIS, D. J.—BENNETT, M. K.: *Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics*, Found. Phys. **24**, (1994), 1325–1346.

[7] FOULIS, D. J.: *A half-century of quantum logic what have we learned?*, In Proc. Conf. Einstein Meets Magritte, Brussels, June, 1995.

[8] HILBERT, D. : *Mathematical Problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1902), 437–479.

* Department of Algebra and Geometry
Faculty of Science
Palacký University Olomouc, 17. listopadu 12
Olomouc, 771 46
CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: ivan.chajda@upol.cz

** Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Faculty of Science
Masaryk University
Kotlářská 2
Brno,611 37
CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: paseka@math.muni.cz